

## Exact Controllability for Semilinear Difference Equation and Application.

Hugo Leiva and Jahnett Uzcátegui

### Abstract

In this paper we study the exact controllability of the following semilinear difference equation

$$z(n+1) = A(n)z(n) + B(n)u(n) + f(z(n), u(n)), n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$z(n) \in Z$ ,  $u(n) \in U$ , where  $Z$ ,  $U$  are Hilbert spaces,  $A \in l^\infty(\mathbb{N}, L(Z))$ ,  $B \in l^\infty(\mathbb{N}, L(U, Z))$ ,  $u \in l^2(\mathbb{N}, U)$  and the nonlinear term  $f : Z \times U \rightarrow Z$  satisfies:

$$\|f(z_2, u_2) - f(z_1, u_1)\| \leq L\{\|z_2 - z_1\| + \|u_2 - u_1\|\}.$$

We prove the following statement: If the linear equation is exactly controllable and  $L \ll 1$ , then the nonlinear equation is also exactly controllable. That is to say, the controllability of the linear equation is preserved under nonlinear perturbation  $f(z, u)$ . Finally, we apply this result to a discrete version of the semilinear wave equation.

### Resumen

En este artículo estudiamos la controlabilidad exacta de la siguiente ecuación en diferencias semilineal

$$z(n+1) = A(n)z(n) + B(n)u(n) + f(z(n), u(n)), n \in \mathbb{N}^*$$

$z(n) \in Z$ ,  $u(n) \in U$ , donde  $Z$ ,  $U$  son espacios de Hilbert,  $\mathbb{N}^* = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $A \in l^\infty(\mathbb{N}, L(Z))$ ,  $B \in l^\infty(\mathbb{N}, L(U, Z))$ ,  $u \in l^2(\mathbb{N}, U)$  y el término no lineal  $f : Z \times U \rightarrow Z$  satisface:

$$\|f(z_2, u_2) - f(z_1, u_1)\| \leq L\{\|z_2 - z_1\| + \|u_2 - u_1\|\}.$$

Probamos la siguiente afirmación: Si la ecuación lineal es exactamente controlable y  $L \ll 1$ , entonces la ecuación no lineal es también exactamente controlable. Es decir, la controlabilidad de la ecuación lineal se preserva bajo la perturbación no lineal  $f(z, u)$ . Finalmente, aplicamos este resultado a una versión discreta de la ecuación del calor semilineal.

**key words.** difference equations, exact controllability, wave equation.

**AMS(MOS) subject classifications.** primary: 93B05; secondary: 93C25.

## 1 Introduction

In this paper we study the exact controllability of the following semilinear difference equation

$$z(n+1) = A(n)z(n) + B(n)u(n) + f(z(n), u(n)), \quad z(n) \in Z, u(n) \in U, n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $Z, U$  are Hilbert spaces,  $A \in l^\infty(\mathbb{N}, L(Z))$ ,  $B \in l^\infty(\mathbb{N}, L(U, Z))$ ,  $u \in l^2(\mathbb{N}, U)$ ,  $L(U, Z)$  denotes the space of all bounded linear operators  $L : U \rightarrow Z$  and  $L(Z, Z) = L(Z)$ . The nonlinear term  $f : Z \times U \rightarrow Z$  is a continuous Lipschitzian function such that:

$$\|f(z_2, u_2) - f(z_1, u_1)\| \leq L\{\|z_2 - z_1\| + \|u_2 - u_1\|\}.$$

We prove the following statement: If the linear equation is exactly controllable and  $L \ll 1$ , then the nonlinear equation is also exactly controllable. That is to say, the controllability of the linear equation is preserved under nonlinear perturbation  $f(z, u)$ . Finally, we apply this result to a discrete version of the following semilinear wave equation:

$$\begin{cases} w_{tt} - w_{xx} = u(t, x) + f(w, w_t, u(t, x)), & 0 < x < 1 \\ w(t, 0) = w(t, 1) = 0, & t \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where the distributed control  $u \in L^2(0, t_1; L^2(0, 1))$  and the nonlinear term  $f(w, v, u)$  is a continuous function  $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$|f(w_2, v_2, u_2) - f(w_1, v_1, u_1)| \leq L\{|w_2 - w_1| + |v_2 - v_1| + |u_2 - u_1|\}. \quad (1.2)$$

Finally, our technique can be used in a more general problem since it is based on the following Theorem use to characterize center manifolds in dynamical system theory.

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $Z$  be a Banach space and  $K : Z \rightarrow Z$  a Lipschitz function with a Lipschitz constant  $k < 1$  and consider  $G(z) = z + Kz$ . Then  $G$  is an homeomorphis whose inverse is a Lipschitz function with a Lipschitz constant  $(1 + k)^{-1}$ .*

## 2 Exact Controllability of the Linear Equation

In this section we shall study the exact controllability of the linear difference equation

$$z(n+1) = A(n)z(n) + B(n)u(n), n \in \mathbb{N}, z(0) = z_0 \quad (2.3)$$

To this end, we shall give a variation constant formula for the solution of (2.3), the definition of exact controllability and prove some characterization of exact controllability needed to prove our main theorem.

Consider the set  $\Delta = \{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : m \geq n\}$  and let  $\Phi = \{\Phi(m, n)\}_{(m, n) \in \Delta}$  be the evolution operator associated to  $A$ , i.e.,  $\Phi(m, n) = A(m-1) \cdots A(n)$  and  $\Phi(m, n) = I$ , for  $m = n$ .

Then the solution of (2.3) is given by the discrete variation constant formula:

$$z(n) = \Phi(n, 0)z(0) + \sum_{k=1}^n \Phi(n, k)B(k-1)u(k-1), n \in \mathbb{N} \quad (2.4)$$

**Definition 2.1 (Exact Controllability)** *The system (2.3) is said to be exactly controllable if there is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for every  $z_0, z_1 \in X$  there exists  $u \in l^\infty(\mathbb{N}, U)$  such that  $z(0) = z_0$  and  $z(n_0) = z_1$ .*

**Definition 2.2** *For the system (2.3) we define the following concepts:*

a) *The controllability map (for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) is define as follows  $B^n : l^2(\mathbb{N}, U) \longrightarrow Z$  by*

$$B^n u = \sum_{k=1}^n \Phi(n, k)B(k-1)u(k-1) \quad (2.5)$$

b) *The grammian map (for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) is define by  $L_{B^n} = B^n B^{n*}$*

The following theorem is a discrete version of theorem 4.1.7 from [2].

**Theorem 2.1** *The equation (2.3) is exactly controllable for some  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  if, and only if, one of the following statements holds:*

a)  *$\text{Ran}(B^{n_0}) = Z$*

b) *There exists  $\gamma > 0$  such that*

$$\langle L_{B^{n_0}} z, z \rangle \geq \gamma \|z\|_Z^2$$

c) *There exists  $\gamma > 0$  such that*

$$\|B^{n_0*} z\|_{l^2(\mathbb{N}, U)} \geq \gamma \|z\|_Z, z \in Z$$

The following proposition is easy to prove.

**Proposition 2.1** *The adjoint  $B^{n_0*}$  of the operator  $B^{n_0}$  is given by  $B^{n_0*} : Z \longrightarrow l^2(\mathbb{N}, U)$*

$$(B^{n_0*} z)(k-1) = \begin{cases} B^*(k-1)\Phi^*(n_0, k)z, & k \leq n_0 \\ 0, & k > n_0 \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$L_{B^{n_0}} z = \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} \Phi(n_0, k) B(k-1) B^*(k-1) \Phi^*(n_0, k) z, z \in Z \quad (2.7)$$

**Lemma 2.1** *The equation (2.3) is exactly controllable for  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  if, and only if,  $L_{B^{n_0}}$  is invertible. Moreover, in this case  $S = B^{n_0*} L_{B^{n_0}}^{-1}$  is right inverse of  $B^{n_0}$  and the control  $u \in l^2(\mathbb{N}, U)$  steering an initial state  $z_0$  to a final state  $z_1$  is given by:*

$$u = B^{n_0*} L_{B^{n_0}}^{-1} (z_1 - \Phi(n_0, 0) z_0). \quad (2.8)$$

**Proof** Suppose the system (2.3) is exactly controllable. Then from theorem (2.1) part c) there is  $\gamma > 0$  such that  $\|B^{n_0*} z\| \geq \gamma \|z\|$ , for all  $z \in Z$ , i.e.,

$$\|B^{n_0*} z\|^2 \geq \gamma^2 \|z\|^2, z \in Z$$

i.e.,

$$\langle B^{n_0} B^{n_0*} z, z \rangle \geq \gamma^2 \|z\|^2, z \in Z$$

i.e.,

$$\langle L_{B^{n_0}} z, z \rangle \geq \gamma^2 \|z\|^2, z \in Z \quad (2.9)$$

This implies that  $L_{B^{n_0}}$  is one to one. Now, we shall prove that  $L_{B^{n_0}}$  is surjective. That is to say

$$R(L_{B^{n_0}}) = \text{Range}(L_{B^{n_0}}) = Z.$$

For the purpose of contradiction, let us assume that  $R(L_{B^{n_0}})$  is strictly contained in  $Z$ . On the other hand, using Cauchy Schwarz's inequality and (2.9) we get

$$\|L_{B^{n_0}} z\|_{l^2} \geq \gamma^2 \|z\|^2, z \in Z$$

which implies that  $R(L_{B^{n_0}})$  is closed. Then, from Hahn Banach's Theorem there exist  $z_0 \neq 0$  such that

$$\langle L_{B^{n_0}} z, z_0 \rangle = 0, \forall z \in Z$$

In particular, putting  $z = z_0$  we get from (2.9) that

$$0 = \langle L_{B^{n_0}} z_0, z_0 \rangle \geq \gamma^2 \|z_0\|^2$$

Then  $z_0 = 0$ , which is a contradiction. Hence,  $L_{B^{n_0}}$  is a bijection and from the Open Mapping Theorem,  $L_{B^{n_0}}^{-1}$  is a bounded linear operator.

Now suppose  $L_{B^{n_0}}$  is invertible. Then, from Theorem (2.1) it is enough to prove that  $R(B^{n_0}) = Z$ . For  $z \in Z$  we define the control  $u_z \in l^2(\mathbb{N}, U)$  as follows

$$u_z = Sz = B^{n_0*} L_{B^{n_0}}^{-1} z.$$

Then  $B^{n_0} u_z = z$ . The rest of the proof follows from here. ♠

### 3 Exact Controllability of the Nonlinear Equation.

Through this section we shall assume that the linear system is exactly controllable for some  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now, we shall give the definition of controllability in terms of the non-linear systems. In this section we shall study the exact controllability of the nonlinear difference equation

$$z(n+1) = A(n)z(n) + B(n)u(n) + f(z(n), u(n)), z(0) = z_0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \quad (3.10)$$

where the nonlinear term  $f : Z \times U \rightarrow Z$  satisfies:

$$\|f(z_2, u_2) - f(z_1, u_1)\| \leq L\{\|z_2 - z_1\| + \|u_2 - u_1\|\}.$$

To this end, we shall assume that the equation (2.3) is controllable for some  $n_0$ , i.e.,  $R(B^{n_0}) = Z$ .

For  $z_0 \in Z$  the equation (3.10) has a unique solution given by

$$z(n) = \Phi(n, 0)z(0) + \sum_{k=1}^n \Phi(n, k)[B(k-1)u(k-1) + f(z(k-1), u(k-1))], n \in \mathbb{N} \quad (3.11)$$

**Definition 3.1 (Exact Controllability)** *The system (3.10) is said to be exactly controllable if there is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for every  $z_0, z_1 \in X$  there exists  $u \in l^\infty(\mathbb{N}, U)$  such that  $z(0) = z_0$  and  $z(n_0) = z_1$ .*

Consider the following non-linear operator  $B_f^{n_0} : l^2(\mathbb{N}, U) \rightarrow Z$  define by

$$\begin{aligned} B_f^{n_0} u &= \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} \Phi(n_0, k)B(k-1)u(k-1) + \sum_{k=0}^{n_0} \Phi(n_0, k)f(z(k-1), u(k-1)) \\ &= B^{n_0} u + \sum_{k=0}^{n_0} \Phi(n_0, k)f(z(k-1), u(k-1)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Then, following proposition is a characterization of the exact controllability of the nonlinear system (3.10).

**Proposition 3.1** *The system (3.10) is exactly controllable for  $n_0$  if, and only if,  $R(B_f^{n_0}) = Z$ .*

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $u_1, u_2 \in l^2(\mathbb{N}, U)$  and  $z_1, z_2$  the corresponding solutions of (3.10). Then, the following estimate holds:*

$$\|z_1(j) - z_2(j)\|_X \leq M[\|B^{n_0}\| + L]\sqrt{n_0}e^{MLn_0}\|u_1 - u_2\|_{l^2(\mathbb{N}, U)} \quad (3.13)$$

where  $j \leq n_0$  and  $M = \sup_{1 \leq j, k \leq n_0} \{\|\Phi(j, k)\|\}$ .

**Proof** Let  $z_1, z_2$  be solutions of (3.10) corresponding to  $u_1, u_2$  respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_1(j) - z_2(j)\| &= \sum_{k=0}^j \|\Phi(j, k)\| \|B^{n_0}\| \|u_1(k-1) - u_2(k-1)\| \\ &+ \sum_{k=0}^j \|\Phi(j, k)\| \|f(z_1(k-1), u_1(k-1)) - f(z_2(k-1), u_2(k-1))\| \\ &\leq M[\|B^{n_0}\| + L] \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \|u_1(k) - u_2(k)\| + ML \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \|z_1(k) - z_2(k)\| \\ &\leq M[\|B^{n_0}\| + L]\sqrt{n_0}\|u_1 - u_2\| + ML \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \|z_1(k) - z_2(k)\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Using Discrete Gronwall inequality [see Laksmikanham and Trigiante Cor. 1.6.2.] we obtain

$$\|z_1(j) - z_2(j)\|_X \leq M[\|B^{n_0}\| + L]\sqrt{n_0}e^{MLn_0}\|u_1 - u_2\|_{l^2(\mathbb{N}, U)}, j \leq n_0.$$

♠

Now, we are ready to formulate and prove the main result of this work.

**Theorem 3.1** *If the following estimate holds*

$$L_H = ML(\Gamma + 1)\|B^{n_0*}\| \|L_{B^{n_0}}^{-1}\| n_0 < 1, \quad (3.15)$$

where  $\Gamma = M[\|B^{n_0}\| + L]\sqrt{n_0}e^{MLn_0}$ , then the nonlinear system (3.10) is exactly controllable in  $n_0$ .

**Proof** want to prove that

$$B_f^{n_0} l^2(\mathbb{N}; U) = \text{Range}(B_f^{n_0}) = Z.$$

But, from the exact controllability of the linear system (2.3) we know due lemma (2.1) that the operator  $S = B^{n_0*} L_{B^{n_0}}^{-1}$  is a right inverse of  $B^{n_0}$ . Then, it is enough to prove that the operator

$\tilde{B}_f^{n_0} = B_f^{n_0} \circ S$  is surjective. From the equation (3.12) we obtain the following expression for this operator

$$\tilde{B}_f^{n_0} \xi = \xi + \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} \Phi(n_0, k) f(z(k-1), S(\xi)(k-1)). \quad (3.16)$$

Now, if we define the operator  $K : Z \rightarrow Z$  by

$$K\xi = \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} \Phi(n_0, k) f(z(k-1), S(\xi)(k-1)), \quad (3.17)$$

then the equation (3.16) takes the nice form

$$\tilde{B}_f^{n_0} = I + K. \quad (3.18)$$

The function  $H$  is globally Lipschitz. In fact, let  $z_1, z_2$  be solutions of (3.10) corresponding to the controls  $S\xi_1, S\xi_2$  respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|K\xi_1 - K\xi_2\| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} \|\Phi(n_0, k)\| \|f(z_1(k-1), S(\xi_1)(k-1)) - f(z_2(k-1), S(\xi_2)(k-1))\| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} ML\{\|z_1(k-1) - z_2(k-1)\| + \|(S\xi_1)(k-1) - (S\xi_2)(k-1)\|\} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} ML(\Gamma + 1)\|(S\xi_1)(k-1) - (S\xi_2)(k-1)\| \\ &\leq ML(\Gamma + 1)\|B^{n_0*}\| \|L_{B^{n_0}}^{-1}\| \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} \|\xi_1 - \xi_2\| \\ &= ML(\Gamma + 1)\|B^{n_0*}\| \|L_{B^{n_0}}^{-1}\| n_0 \|\xi_1 - \xi_2\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $K$  is Lipschitzian with lipschitz constant  $L_K = ML(\Gamma + 1)\|B^{n_0*}\| \|L_{B^{n_0}}^{-1}\| n_0$ , and the assumption (3.15) implies that  $L_K < 1$ . Hence, from Theorem 1.1 we get that  $\tilde{B}_{n_0}^f = I + K$  is an homeomorphism and consequently the operator  $B_{n_0}^f$  is surjective, that is to say

$$B_f^{n_0} l^2(\mathbb{N}; U) = \text{Range}(B_f^{n_0}) = Z.$$

♠

**Corollary 3.1** *The control steering an initial state  $z_0$  to a final state  $z_1$  is given by*

$$u = B^{n_0*} L_{B^{n_0}}^{-1} (I + K)^{-1} (z_1 - \Phi(n_0, 0)z_0).$$

**Corollary 3.2** *The operator  $\Gamma : Z \rightarrow Z$  define by  $\Gamma = S \circ (I + K_{\alpha_0})^{-1}$  is a right inverse of the non linear operator  $B_f^{n_0}$ . That is to say,  $B_f^{n_0} \circ \Gamma = I$ .*

## 4 Applications

As an application of the main results of this paper we shall consider a discrete version of the controlled nonlinear wave equation in 1 dimension.

$$\begin{cases} y_{tt} = y_{xx} + u(t, x) + g(y, u(t, x)) \\ y(t, 0) = y(t, 1) = 0 \\ y(0, x) = y_0, y_t(0, x) = y_1(x) \end{cases} \quad (4.19)$$

The system (4.19) can be written as an abstract second order equation in the Hilbert space  $X = L^2[0, 1]$  as follows:

$$\begin{cases} y'' = -Ay + u(t) + g(y, u(t)) \\ y(0) = y_0, y'(0) = y_1 \end{cases} \quad (4.20)$$

where the operator  $A$  is given by  $A\phi = -\phi_{xx}$  with domain  $D(A) = H^2 \cap H_0^1$ , and has the following spectral decomposition.

For all  $x \in D(A)$  we have

$$Ax = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \langle x, \phi_n \rangle \phi_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n E_n x$$

where  $\lambda_n = n^2\pi^2$ ,  $\phi_n(x) = \sin n\pi x$ ,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the inner product in  $X$  and  $E_n x = \langle x, \phi_n \rangle \phi_n$ .

So,  $\{E_n\}$  is a family of complete orthogonal projections in  $X$  and  $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n x$ ,  $x \in X$ .

Using the change of variables  $y' = v$ , the second order equation (4.20) can be written as a first order system of ordinary differential equations in the Hilbert space  $Z = X^{1/2} \times X$  as

$$\begin{cases} z' = \mathcal{A}z + Bu(t) + f(z, u(t)), z \in Z \\ z(0) = z_0 \end{cases} \quad (4.21)$$

where

$$z = \begin{bmatrix} w \\ v \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ I \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -A & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4.22)$$

is an unbounded linear operator with domain  $D(\mathcal{A}) = D(A) \times X$  and

$$f(z, u) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ g(y, u) \end{bmatrix}, \quad u \in L^2(0, \tau, X) = U.$$

The proof of the following theorem follows from Theorem 3.1 (see, [4]) by putting  $c = 0$  and  $d = 1$ .



**Theorem 4.1** *The operator given by (4.22), is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup  $\{T(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  given by*

$$T(t)z = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{A_n t} P_n z, z \in Z, t \geq 0 \quad (4.23)$$

where  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a complete family of orthogonal projections in the Hilbert space  $Z$  given by

$$P_n = \text{diag}[E_n, E_n], n \geq 1 \quad (4.24)$$

and

$$A_n = B_n P_n, \quad B_n = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\lambda_n & 0 \end{bmatrix}, n \geq 1. \quad (4.25)$$

Now, the discretization of (4.21) on flow is given by

$$\begin{cases} z(n+1) = T(n)z(n) + B(n)u(n) + f(z(n), u(n)), z \in Z \\ z(0) = z_0 \end{cases} \quad (4.26)$$

where

$$f : Z \times U \longrightarrow Z, \quad u \in l^2(\mathbb{N}, U), \quad B : U \longrightarrow Z, \quad Bu = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ u \end{bmatrix}.$$

In this case, the evolution operator associated to  $T(\cdot)$ , is given by  $\Phi(m, n) = T(m-1)T(m-2) \dots T(n)$ ,  $n < m$  and  $\Phi(m, m) = I$ .

Note that  $\phi(m, n) = T(\Theta(m, n))$  where  $\Theta(m, n) = \frac{m^2 - n^2 + n - m}{2} \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m > n$ .

We consider the linear difference equation

$$\begin{cases} z(n+1) = T(n)z(n) + B(n)u(n), z \in Z \\ z(0) = z_0 \end{cases} \quad (4.27)$$

We want to show that (4.27) is exactly controllable. In this case, we have

$$B^n : l^2(\mathbb{N}, U) \longrightarrow Z, \quad B^n u = \sum_{k=1}^n T(\Theta(n, k)) B u(k-1)$$

and

$$L_{B^n} : Z \longrightarrow Z, \quad L_{B^n} = B^n B^{n*}$$

Now we consider the following family of finite dimensional systems:

$$\begin{cases} P_j z(n+1) = e^{A_j n} P_j z(n) + P_j B(n) u(n), z \in Z \\ P_j z(0) = P_j z_0 \end{cases} \quad (4.28)$$

or

$$\begin{cases} y(n+1) = e^{A_j n} y(n) + B_j u(n), y \in R(P_j) \\ y(0) = y_0 \in R(P_j) \end{cases} \quad (4.29)$$

where  $R(P_j) = \text{Range}(P_j)$ ,  $B_j = P_j B$ .

For (4.29) we have:

$$B_j^n u = \sum_{k=1}^n e^{A_j \Theta(n,k)} B_j u(k-1) = P_j B^n u$$

and  $L_{B_j^n} = B_j^n B_j^{n*}$ .

The verification that  $P_n B B^* = B B^* P_n$  and  $T^*(t) = T(-t)$  is trivial.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} L_{B^n} z &= \sum_{k=1}^n T(\Theta(n,k)) B B^* T^*(\Theta(n,k)) z \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{A_j \Theta(n,k)} P_j B B^* \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e^{-A_j \Theta(n,k)} P_j z \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n e^{A_j \Theta(n,k)} B B^* e^{-A_j \Theta(n,k)} P_j z \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} L_{B_j^n} P_j z. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hence, } L_{B^n} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} L_{B_j^n}.$$

In consequence, to show that (4.27) is exactly controllable we shall prove that (4.29) is exactly controllable, i.e., we shall prove that  $L_{B_j^n}$  satisfy Theorem 2.1 (b), i.e., the exist  $\gamma > 0$  such that  $\langle L_{B_j^n} y, y \rangle \geq \gamma \|y\|^2$ . . . . .

## References

- [1] R.F. CURTAIN and A.J. PRITCHARD, "Infinite Dimensional Linear Systems", Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences, Vol. 8. Springer Verlag, Berlin (1978).

- 
- [2] R.F. CURTAIN and H.J. ZWART, "An Introduction to Infinite Dimensional Linear Systems Theory", Tex in Applied Mathematics, Vol. 21. Springer Verlag, New York (1995).
- [3] V. LAKSHMIKANTHAM and D. TRIGIANTE, Theory of Difference Equations: Numerical Methods and Applications, Mathematics in Science and Engineering, Vol. 181.
- [4] H. LEIVA, "A Lemma on  $C_0$ -Semigroups and Applications PDEs Systems" Quaestiones Mathematicae, Vol. 26, pp. 247-265 (2003).
- [5] H. LEIVA and H. ZAMBRANO "Rank condition for the controllability of a linear time-varying system" International Journal of Control, Vol. 72, 920-931(1999)
- [6] H. LEIVA, "Exact controllability of the suspension bridge model proposed by Lazer and McKenna", J. Math. Anal. Appl. 309 (2005), 404-419.
- [7] L. LI and X. ZHANG, "Exact controllability for semilinear wave equations" J. Math. Anal. Appl., Vol. 250, pp. 589-597 (1991).
- [8] W. LIU and G.H. WILLIAMS, "Exact internal controllability for the semilinear heat equation" J. Math. Analysis and Appl. **211**, 258-272(19997).
- [9] K. NAITO, "On controllability for a nonlinear volterra equation" Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods and Applications, Vol. 18, Nž1 pp. 99-108(1992).
- [10] K. NAITO, "Controllability of semilinear control systems dominated by the linear part", SIAM J. CONTROL OPTIM. Vol. 25, N0. 3,(1987)
- [11] A.L. SASU, "Stabilizability and controllability for systemms of difference equations", Journal of Difference Equations and Applications, Vol. 12, Nž 8, August 2006, 821-826.
- [12] L. DE TERESA, "Approximate controllability of a semilinear heat equation in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ", SIAM J. CONTROL OPTIM. Vol. 36, N0. 6, pp. 2118-2147,(1998)
- [13] X. ZHANG, "A remark on null exact controllability of the heat equation", SIAM J. CONTROL OPTIM. Vol. 40, N0. 1, pp. 39-53(2001)
- [14] E. ZUAZUA, "Exact controllability for semilinear wave equation" J. Math. pures et appl., 69, pp. 1-31 (1990).
- [15] E. ZUAZUA, "Exact controllability for semilinear wave equations in one space dimension" Ann. Inst. Henri Poincare Anal. Non Lineaire, Vol. 10, N0 1, pp. 109-129,(1993)

**HUGO LEIVA**

Departamento de Matemáticas, Facultad de Ciencias,  
Universidad de Los Andes  
Mérida 5101, Venezuela  
e-mail: hleiva@ula.ve

**JAHNETT UZCÁTEGUI**

Departamento de Matemáticas, Facultad de Ciencias,  
Universidad de Los Andes  
Mérida 5101, Venezuela  
e-mail: jahnettu@ula.ve