

**PUBLICATION GUIDELINES**  
**2.- FOR THE EVALUATION ARBITRATORS**

The review of the works submitted to the ANUARIO GRHIAL. HISTORY OF CULTURE, IDEAS AND COLLECTIVE MENTALITIES. DIGITAL MAGAZINE, for publication, will be done through a double arbitration: internal and external, being the first condition to perform the second. The Evaluators Committee will recommend the appropriate specialists to carry out the arbitration, they will not know the names of the authors and —at the same time— theirs will be kept in strict reserve. For both reviews the appointed arbitrators, together with the work to be examined, will receive a form prepared for that purpose, in which they will record the results of their evaluation.

***INTERNAL ARBITRATION***

The evaluators will record the presence or absence of the formal aspects established in the GUIDELINES FOR THE AUTHORS and that should govern the presentation of the works: Relevance with the specialties of the journal and its structuring, C.V. of the authors, Title (Spanish and English), Margins, Extension, Abstract, Abstract, Keywords and Key words; Subtitles listed in Arabic continuously, Letter, Spaces, APA Regulation and Any additional criteria established by the arbitrator.

To recommend the publication without modifications, the referee will take into account the 100% compliance of the items. To recommend the publication with modifications, that the author must satisfy, compliance must have been 80% of the items. If the missing items exceed 80%, the publication will be rejected.

***EXTERNAL ARBITRATION***

The referees will be governed by a scale in which they will be indicated, with a score between "0" and "4" (0 points: Deficient, 1 point: Regular, 2 points: It can be improved, 3 points: Good and 4 points: Excellent), the valuation that deserves the items that are considered: Adequacy of the Title, Summary and Keywords to the content; Unpublished character, Systematic analysis; Clarity, coherence, syntax, spelling and cohesion of discourse; Domain of the topic, Contribution for future research, Conclusions according to the objectives set, Additional criteria that the evaluator can establish and its general Appreciation.

To recommend the publication without modifications of the evaluated work, it must add between 35 and 40 points. To recommend the publication with modifications, to which the author will provide timely satisfaction, you must obtain more than 30 points. If the sum is less than 30 points, the publication will be rejected.